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## Pam Schreiner—brief bio

- 27 years in the Division of Epidemiology and Community Health, School of Public Health
- Teach statistical methodology and SAS programming
- Principal Investigator of the Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults (CARDIA) Study
- Research interests: primary prevention of cardiovascular disease in middle age, including obesity and dyslipidemia; currently focused on hyperglycemia and hearing loss


## CENSHARE

- Center to Study Human-Animal Relationships and Environments
- Established in 1981 by RK Anderson
- DVM with interest in veterinary public health
- 3 main goals
- Education: to disseminate knowledge about human-animal relationships via educational programming
- Research: to stimulate and promote scientific study of human-animal relationships and environments
- Service: to serve as a community resource for all matters involving human-animal relationships, including data for those developing public policy


## CENSHARE

- Director since 2013
- Focus on companion animals and human health
- Animals in our environment
- Study design and analytic methods
- Resource for investigators interested in researching/quantifying the human-animal bond
- Future goals to study how we impact animals' health and well-being


## Topics Covered

- Definition of companion animals
- Pet ownership in the US
- Magnitude of pet effect
- Health benefits in seniors
- Physical activity
- Diet, lifestyle, self-care
- Depression and loneliness
- Biomarkers
- Cardiovascular disease
- Pros and cons summary
- Reading the news objectively


## Polls
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## Definition of Companion Animal (ASPCA)

- "Companion animals should be domesticated or domestic-bred animals whose physical, emotional, behavioral and social needs can be readily met as companions in the home, or in close daily relationship with humans"
- Species suitable to be companion animals
- Dogs, cats, horses, rabbits, ferrets, birds, guinea pigs and other small mammals, small reptiles, fish
- Domestic-bred farm animals if kept legally and responsibly
- ASPCA is opposed to keeping wild animals or wild/domestic hybrids as pets


## Companion Animals in the US (American Pet Products Association)

- Total US pet industry expenditures in 2020
- \$103.6 billion

| Category | Billions |
| :--- | :---: |
| Pet food and treats | $\$ 44.1$ |
| Supplies, live animals \& OTC <br> medicine | $\$ 23.4$ |
| Vet care \& product sales | $\$ 32.3$ |
| Other services* | $\$ 9.7$ |
| *boarding, grooming, insurance, training, pet sitting, walking and |  |

## US Pet Ownership

- 2019-2020: 67\% of households or 84.9 million homes

| US households <br> (millions) | APPA | AVMA |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Bird | 5.7 | 3.5 |
| Cat | 42.7 | 31.9 |
| Dog | 63.4 | 48.3 |
| Horse | 1.6 | 0.89 |
| Freshwater fish | 11.5 | 10.5 (both) |
| Saltwater fish | 1.6 | -- |
| Reptile | 4.5 | 3.7 |
| Small animal | 5.4 | 3.8 |

## Demographic Trends

- Recent market analysis* examining demographic trends on consumer spending based on the size and age distribution of the future population
- Overall US population will grow by 8\% between 2015 and 2025
- Those between 70 and 84 will increase by $50 \%$
- Retired people spend more time within their homes on activities and hobbies
- Spending on pets likely to grow well above the rate of total consumption
*The Impact of Demographic Trends on U.S. Consumer Spending, 17 Feb 2017, The Conference Board


品
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## Relative Risk vs. Absolute Risk
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## Relative Risk vs. Absolute Risk
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## Physical Activity



University of Minnesota Driven to Discover" ${ }^{\text {"M }}$

## Pets and Exercise

- In dog owners, walking associated with weight loss and lower BP
- Estimates that 30-60\% of dog owners don't walk their dogs regularly
- Reasons: weather, work, family responsibilities, dog behavior, laziness/fatigue
- Veterinary data suggest obesity epidemic in pets parallels humans
- Association for Pet Obesity Prevention-56\% of dogs and $59 \%$ of cats are overweight or obese
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## Pets and Exercise 2

- In community-dwelling adults 71-82 years from the Health, Aging and Body Composition Study*, only $36 \%$ walked their dogs at least 3x/week
- Dog walkers were more likely to achieve 150 minutes of walking/week and had faster walking speeds (a measure of mobility) than non-dog owners
- 3 years later, dog walkers were 2 times more likely to achieve recommended walking levels
- Increases in physical activity only in a subgroup who may have been motivated to improve fitness or already physically active
*JAGS 2006; 54: 1419-1424


## Diet, Lifestyle, Self-Care
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## Diet, Lifestyle, Self-Care among Seniors

- More regular meals/higher diet quality (more milk and vegetables consumed)
- Better self-care
- Declines in prescription drug use
- Better sleep
- Fewer MD visits and minor health problems


## Depression and Isolation
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## The role of pets

- Companionship
- Conversation topics/social lubricant
- Pet owners feel better about themselves because of unconditional love
- Safety
- Return to play and laughter
- Pleasurable to watch
- Comforting to touch
- Constancy and routine
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## The role of pets 2

- Are these benefits related to pets or increased contact with others?
- A sense of purpose
- Balanced with expense, potential for accidents and injuries due to tripping
- Some cities have foster programs to allow seniors to have pets without permanent adoption (seniors adopting senior pets)
- Pets not a panacea for aging, but a variable that may affect health and happiness
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## The role of pets 3

- In the Health and Retirement Study*
- $52 \%$ reported having a pet (dog or cat, most with only 1 pet)
- Pet owners were 1.89 times more likely to have experience depression
- Temporality: were they depressed and adopted a pet, or does pet ownership lead to depression?
- Does loss of a previous pet cause persistent depression?
- Factors that differ between pet owners and nonowners?
*BMC Public Health 2018; 18: 305-11


## The role of pets 4

- Companion animals in the home and mental health, including seniors
- Increased motivation for behavioral changes
- Reduced anxiety
- Increased social connections and reduced loneliness
- Reduced risk behaviors
- "I can't give up when I have them to care for"
*Anthrozoos 2021; 34(4): 543-562
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## Biological plausibility

- Oxytocin
- "happiness hormone", social bonding
- Increases when women interact with bonded dog, decreases when men interact
- Enhances sedation and relaxation, reduces fearfulness and sympathetic activity
- Decreases blood pressure and heart rate
- Alpha amylase
- Stress response, sympathetic nervous system, peaks 10 minutes after stress
- Cortisol
- Chronic stress


## Cardiovascular Disease
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## Companion Animals and Health

- In 2013, AHA issued a scientific statement on pet ownership and CVD risk
- Data for pet ownership equivocal for
- Blood pressure, lipids, obesity, heart rate variability
- Some evidence of increased survival in patients with established CVD
- Summary: "Pet ownership, particularly dog ownership, is probably associated with decreased CVD risk; pet ownership, particularly dog ownership, may have some causal role in reducing CVD risk"
*Levine et al., 2013. Circulation 127(23): 2353-63
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## AHA Conclusion

- Pet ownership "may be reasonable" for reduction in CVD risk (particularly dog ownership and physical activity)
- Pet adoption, rescue, or purchase should not be done for the primary purpose of reducing CVD risk


## AHA Conclusion 2

- Mechanism
- Physical activity: increased in dog owners, mostly through behavioral intention and motivation/social support
- Obesity: Obese pet owners lose similar weight as non-owners with dietary counseling
- Benefits are through intermediate factors such as mood, depression, social support
- Other species may have benefits, but not often considered
- Methodological issues-small numbers, temporality, differences in who chooses pets, comorbidities
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## Heart Rate Variability

- Heart rate variability: marker of sympathetic and parasympathetic autonomic nervous activity
- In patients with lifestyle-related disease (diabetes, hypertension, hyperlipidemia)*:
- Pet owners: greater heart rate variability compared to non-owners
- Adjusted for differences in age, sex, BMI, smoking
- Cross-sectional data, no information on walking, exercise
- In patients with healed heart attacks**:
- Pet owners: higher HRV compared to non-owners:
- HRV: lower in depressed patients
*Am J Cardiol 2012; 109:1164-1170; **Am J Cardiol 2003; 91:718-721


## Post-MI Survival

- Pet ownership associated with improved longterm survival 1 year after a heart attack*
- Interaction of pet ownership with depression
- 460 patients
- Best outcome: pet owner/low depression
- Moderate outcome: either pet owner/high depression or non-pet owner/low depression
- No data on when pets obtained or lost, too few deaths to separate cat owners from dog owners
*Anthrozoos 2011; 24:273-285
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## Pets and recovery post-stroke*

## PROS

- Motivation for physical and psychosocial recovery
- Someone to care for
- Acceptance of disability
- Family member


## CONS

- Expense
- Fear of losing the ability to keep pets (either death of pet or unable to care for current pet)
- Grief and mourning
*Br J Community Nurs 2014; 19(12):578-84
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## Data from the CARDIA study
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## Results

- 840 participants completed questionnaire

181 (21.6 \%) current dog owners
172 (20.5 \%) current cat owners
104 (12.4 \%) current owners of both
287 (34.2 \%) past owners of either
95 (11.3 \%) never owners

## Reasons for Not Currently Owning Pets (past and never owners)

## Choice <br> Allergies

Allergies in family member Housing situation
Can't afford
Other

$$
\begin{gathered}
60.5 \% \\
14.4 \% \\
9.6 \% \\
15.5 \% \\
9.6 \% \\
16.7 \%
\end{gathered}
$$

## Dr timyeancorne OB

## STATT
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## Pros and Cons of Pet Ownership

- Pros
- Companionship
- Less isolation and depression
- Improved self-care and exercise
- Structured lifestyle
- Cons
- Allergies
- Grief over pet loss
- Inability to afford or care for pet
- Fear that death will leave pet alone


## Reading the News Objectively

- Are the data cross-sectional?
- Diabetes and obesity examples
- Are the benefits related to increased activity or social contact rather than the pet?
- Who is the comparison group?
- Are those with companion animals either better off socioeconomically or health-wise? A safer neighborhood or homeowners with more leisure time?
- Is wanting a pet a marker of a different type of person than someone who does not choose one?
- Are the populations generalizable with good sample size?
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